

REMARKS:

Claims 3-5 are in the case and presented for consideration.

The undersigned thanks the Examiner for the information provided during the informal telephone conversation of December 11, 2007.

This amendment is being filed with an RCE in view of the additional consideration that may be required. A substitute specification has been provided to improve the language and new claims 3, 4 and 5 substantially correspond to the subject matter of claims 1 and 2, except that claim 2 actually sought to eliminate the magnet of claim 1. Claim 3 now covers the subject matter of former claim 1, but is in proper form under 35 U.S.C. 112. The alternative embodiments of Figs. 1 and 2 for mounting the magnet have been included in a Markush Group in claim 1, which is believed to correspond to the original claim that the Examiner has tentatively found to be allowable. Claim 4 defines the invention of the first embodiment of Figs. 1, and claim 5 defines the invention of the second embodiment of Fig. 2.

By this amendment thus, the application and claims are believed to be in condition for allowance and further favorable action is respectfully requested.

The power of attorney and request for change of correspondence have been filed previously but, a copy of the power of attorney and change of correspondence is also attached for completeness.

The Examiner is also respectfully urged to telephone the undersigned if any matters remain which can be treated by telephone interview in the interest of reaching a conclusion to the prosecution of this case.

Favorable action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

/PETER C. MICHALOS/

Peter C. Michalos

Reg. No. 28,643

Attorney for Applicants

Tel. 845-359-7700

Dated: December 13, 2007

NOTARO & MICHALOS P.C.

100 Dutch Hill Road, Suite 110
Orangeburg, New York 10962-2100

Customer No. 21706